Bolsover District Council

Audit Committee

25 July 2017

Strategic Risk Register and Partnership Arrangements

Report of the Assistant Director - Finance, Revenues and Benefits

This report is public

Purpose of the Report

 To enable the Audit Committee to consider the attached report concerning the Strategic Risk Register which will be considered by Executive at its meeting of 11 September 2017.

1 Report Details

1.1 To update Members of the Audit Committee concerning the Strategic Risk Register. Any comments expressed by the Audit Committee will be taken into account in developing both the Council's risk management reports and wider risk management arrangements.

2 Conclusions and Reasons for Recommendation

2.1 These are detailed in the attached report.

Reasons for Recommendation

2.2 To ensure that the Audit Committee are kept informed concerning the Council's latest position regarding Risk Management and Partnership working and are able to exercise effective influence on the Council's Risk Management arrangements.

3 Consultation and Equality Impact

Consultation

3.1 There are no issues arising from this report which necessitate a detailed consultation process.

Equalities

3.2 There are no direct implications arising from this report.

4 Alternative Options and Reasons for Rejection

4.1 These are detailed in the attached report.

5 **Implications**

5.1 Finance and Risk Implications

Financial

These are detailed in the attached report.

Risk

These are detailed in the attached report.

5.2 Legal Implications including Data Protection

These are detailed in the attached report.

5.3 Human Resources Implications

These are detailed in the attached report.

6 Recommendations

6.1 That the Audit Committee note the report and make any comments that they believe to be appropriate with regards to the attached report which will be considered by Executive at its meeting on 11 September 2017.

7 Decision Information

Is the decision a Key Decision?	Yes/No
A Key Decision is an executive decision	
which has a significant impact on two or	
·	
more District wards or which results in	
income or expenditure to the Council above	
the following thresholds:	
BDC: Revenue - £75,000 □	
Capital - £150,000 □	
NEDDC: Revenue - £100,000 □	
Capital - £250,000 □	
☑ Please indicate which threshold applies	
Is the decision subject to Call-In?	Yes/No
(Only Key Decisions are subject to Call-In)	
District Wards Affected	All

Links	to	Corporate	Plan	priorities	or	All
Policy	Fra	mework				

8 <u>Document Information</u>

Appendix No	Title						
1	Executive Report 11 September Register and Partnership Arrangemer	•					
Background Papers (These are unpublished works which have been relied on to a material extent when preparing the report. They must be listed in the section below. If the report is going to Cabinet (NEDDC) or Executive (BDC) you must provide copies of the background papers)							
Service Plan Risk Registers Strategic Risk Register							
Report Author Contact Number							
Assistant Direct	tor - Finance, Revenues and Benefits	2214					

Bolsover District Council

Executive Committee

11 September 2017

Strategic Risk Register and Partnership Arrangements

This report is public

Report of the Assistant Director - Finance, Revenues and Benefits

Purpose of the Report

 To update Members concerning the current position regarding Risk Management and Partnership Arrangements and to seek approval for the revised Strategic Risk Register as at 30 June 2017, as part of the suite of Finance, Performance and Risk reports.

1 Report Details

Background

- 1.1. The Council's Strategic Risk Register has been developed in the light of a consideration of the strategic and operational risks which have been identified by Elected Members and Officers as part of the Council's risk, service management and quarterly performance arrangements.
- 1.2. In its approach to Risk Management the Council is seeking to secure a number of objectives and to operate in line with recognised best practice. In order to appreciate the importance of Risk Management it is useful to reiterate these objectives:
 - To improve the way in which the Council manages its key risks so as to reduce the likelihood of them happening, and to mitigate their impact in those cases where they do materialise. This is a key element in protecting service delivery arrangements, the financial position and the reputation of the Council.
 - To strengthen the overall managerial arrangements of the Council. From a Governance perspective the effective operation of Risk Management is a key element of the managerial framework operating within an authority.
 - Effective Risk Management is a key component in ensuring that organisations are able to achieve their objectives, and that key projects proceed in line with plan.
 - The identification of the risks attached to existing service delivery, or to a
 project or new initiative helps enable a fully informed decision to be made,

- and helps ensure that all appropriate measures to mitigate (or reduce) the risk are in place from the outset.
- Finally, an appreciation of the risk environment within which the Council
 operates assists in ensuring the organisation has a good awareness of its
 overall risk exposure, whilst helping determine an appropriate level of
 financial reserves.

2. The Strategic Risk Register

- 2.1. The revised Strategic Risk Register as at 30 June 2017 is set out in **Appendix 1** for consideration by Executive. The intention is that this review of the Register will secure the following objectives:
 - Identify any newly emerging risks which need to be added to the Register and removing any risks that have been resolved to maintain a focus on current risks.
 - To revisit risk scores assessments and ensure that appropriate mitigation remains in place.
- 2.2. Overall a key theme which emerges from the Strategic Risk Register is one of an ongoing requirement to maintain our current performance in respect of service delivery, performance and governance and of ensuring that the Council mitigates the risk of a catastrophic event or service failure impacting upon our community. This objective needs to be secured against a background of both declining and less certainty concerning financial resources. Allied to the financial position local authorities are faced with significant legislative change impacting upon Housing, Planning, the welfare system, devolution and finance. These developments are anticipated to entail some significant changes in the manner in which our services to local residents are delivered with the level of change required clearly having the potential to disrupt service provision.
- During the recent round of Quarterly Performance meetings one of the 2.3. key issues discussed was the impact upon both the local community and the Council of a catastrophic event. While there is a clear potential for this to arise as a result of circumstances beyond our control the Council itself has a clear requirement to ensure that its services are managed and delivered in such a way as to mitigate that risk of a major service failure. Other issues that were raised included the uncertainty arising from the recent General Election, the impact of Brexit, the pace of legislative change and the ability to recruit and retain appropriately qualified staff. The first three identified risks are covered by Strategic Risk 1as outlined in Appendix 1. This Risk is concerned with the impact of a wide range of national issues upon the Council and the services it delivers to local residents. Finally, the issue of the loss of key staff and the difficulties being experienced in finding suitable replacements continues to be a widespread concern expressed by managers. While a limited number of services are experiencing significant problems it is clear that all service areas are now finding it difficult to attract suitable candidates at a time when we are experiencing increased staff turnover. This issue already

- featured within the Strategic Risk Register and is detailed as Strategic Risk 4 within Appendix 1.
- 2.4. In order to develop the understanding of risk together with a culture of risk management throughout the organisations a series of training sessions for senior managers which covered the issue of Risk Management have been held in early summer 2017. Likewise, as part of the Budget Scrutiny Meeting in September 2015 there was a presentation to Members concerning Risk Management.

Partnership Arrangements.

- 2.5. As part of the Council's Risk Management (including Partnership Working) Strategy a range of strategic partnerships are reported on and monitored within the Council's quarterly report in respect of Risk. These are complementary to the Partnership Funding and Performance Monitoring reports prepared by the Partnership Team to Executive twice a year which sets out the range of partnerships it works directly with. While the Partnership Team co-ordinate the Council's work with these external organisations it should be noted that many of these have been assessed as being of relatively limited risk, with officers adopting a 'light touch' approach in developing appropriate working relationships.
- 2.6. While there will invariably be an overlap between the two reports this report will focus on what might be termed as the Council's strategic partnerships. These are as follows:
 - The relationship with the North Midlands authorities (Derbyshire and Nottinghamshire) and Sheffield City Region in progressing the economic development and devolution agenda.
 - The strategic alliance with North East Derbyshire District Council which is central to the transformation agenda of delivering services at lower costs whilst enhancing service resilience.
 - Shared Services arrangements with Chesterfield, Derbyshire Dales, North East Derbyshire and the Chesterfield Royal Hospital which help secure cost effective arrangements in a number of specialist service areas.
 - Arrangements with Derbyshire County Council amongst others to secure aligned services across the public sector in areas such as health and economic development.
 - The Community Safety Team and associated statutory partners including the Police.

Although the Partnerships outlined above are very different in terms of scope and working arrangements they all have in place formal governance arrangements between the partners, supported by appropriate internal governance arrangements which cover performance, finance and risk. Appropriate approvals have been agreed through the Council's formal committee arrangements, with partnership issues and developments being considered as is required within this Council's constitution. The arrangements in place are intended to be both risk based and proportionate to the risk exposure of this Council.

3 Conclusions and Reasons for Recommendation

3.1 The Strategic Risk Register is intended to highlight those areas where the Council needs to manage its risks effectively. One of the key purposes of this report is to set out the risks that have been identified (see Appendix 1) and to encourage both Members and Officers to actively consider whether the Strategic Risk Register and supporting Service Risk Registers appropriately cover all of the issues facing the Council. The section of Partnerships serves to highlight the extent of these working arrangements, together with the mechanisms which are in place for their successful management.

Reasons for Recommendation.

3.2 To enable Executive to consider the risks identified within the Strategic Risk Register / Partnership Arrangements in order to assist in maintaining effective governance arrangements, service and financial performance.

4 Consultation and Equality Impact

Consultation

4.1 There are no issues arising from this report which necessitate a formal consultation process.

Equalities

4.2 There are no equalities issues arising directly out of this report.

5 Alternative Options and Reasons for Rejection

5.1 Under the relevant good practice and to facilitate the development of robust managerial arrangements the Council is required to prepare a Strategic Risk Register as part of its risk management framework. This report is in part intended for Members and Officers to consider whether the Council has adopted an appropriate approach to its management of risk and partnerships. Given that this report is part of the approach to help ensure the effective management of risk / partnerships there is not an alternative to the presentation of a formal report.

6 **Implications**

6.1 Finance and Risk Implications

Financial

There are no additional financial implications arising out of this report at this stage. While where appropriate additional mitigation measures have been identified and implemented during the course of preparing the Strategic and Operational Risk Registers, the cost of implementing this mitigation will be met from within previously agreed budgets.

Risk

Risk Management Issues are covered throughout the body of the main report.

6.2 <u>Legal Implications including Data Protection</u>

There are no legal or data protection issues arising directly out of this report.

6.3 <u>Human Resources Implications</u>

There are no human resource issues arising directly out of this report.

7 Recommendations

7.1 That Executive approves the Strategic Risk Register as at 30 June 2017 as set out in Appendix 1.

8 <u>Decision Information</u>

Is the decision a Key Decision? A Key Decision is an executive decision which has a significant impact on two or more District wards or which results in income or expenditure to the Council above the following thresholds: BDC: Revenue - £75,000 □ Capital - £150,000 □ NEDDC: Revenue - £100,000 □ Capital - £250,000 □ Very Please indicate which threshold applies	No
Is the decision subject to Call-In? (Only Key Decisions are subject to Call-In)	Yes/No
District Wards Affected	All
Links to Corporate Plan priorities or Policy Framework	All

9 <u>Document Information</u>

Appendix No	Title					
1	Strategic Risk Register as at 30 June	2017				
Background Pa	apers (These are unpublished works	which have been relied				
on to a material	extent when preparing the report. Th	ey must be listed in the				
section below.	If the report is going to Cabinet (NEDI	DC) or Executive (BDC)				
you must provid	e copies of the background papers)					
Service Plan Ris	sk Registers					
Strategic Risk F	Register					
Report Author Contact Number						
•						
Assistant Direc	tor - Finance, Revenues and Benefits	2214				

STRATEGIC RISK REGISTER SUMMARY AS AT: 30 June 2017

	Risk	Consequences	Risk Score (Likelihood x Impact)	Risk Score (Likelihood x Impact)Taking into Account Current Controls	Risk Owner / Lead Officer
1	Government Legislation / Parliamentary uncertainty / impact of Brexit / adverse external economic climate has an accelerating impact on Council (poor financial settlement), or upon the local economy, to which Council is unable to adopt an appropriate change of Strategic direction.	 Unable to deliver a package of services that meet changing local needs and aspirations. Reduced influence over delivery of local services. Unable to effectively support local communities. Increased demands on Council services at a time when Council resource base is reducing. 	4,4, 16	3,4 12	SAMT / Political Leadership
	adopted to mitiThe Council haAppropriate lev	s outward looking and actively works to ungate any adverse impacts of these. as effective political and managerial (goverwels of financial reserves / investment fundingement with staff to ensure they embrace in	nance) arrangements ir ing are maintained to fu	n place to manage cha	inge.
2	Failure to deliver a balanced budget in line with the MTFP, at a time when the Council's reserves	 Impact upon ability to deliver current level of services. Unable to resource acceptable levels of service. 	4,4 16	3,4 12	Political Leadership / Chief Executive / Chief Financial Officer / SAMT

	are limited to 'adequate' levels.	Significant adverse reputational Impact.			
3.	arrangementsThe current Micertainty concerns	as effective financial and wider manager are robust. TFP indicates challenging but manageablerning income (NNDR, NHB). as 'adequate' financial reserves in place to the compact of the c	e savings targets. A ke	ey risk is that under 'lo	ocalism' there is less
	operational service failure which has a major impact upon the local community, this impact being reflected in the Council's sustainability and reputation. Failure could arise from services – inc Data Protection – failing to adhere to best practice. Resulting in a potential impact upon the Council's ability to secure its corporate objectives. Given the efficiency measures that have been introduced to date this is considered to be an increasing issue for	 the local community, leading to a wider detrimental corporate impact. Deterioration in services to the public, potentially a major initial impact upon a local resident or a group of local residents. Significant staff and financial resources required to resolve position, impacting on other services. 			Directors

				T						
	the Council.									
	 The Council has appropriate managerial arrangements in place supported by staff recruitment and training to ensure these risks are effectively managed. The Council has a Performance Management Framework in place to help ensure that services are delivered in line with good practice and industry standards. On going monitoring and regular reporting will help ensure that any emerging issues re service performance are effectively identified and resolved at the earliest possible opportunity. 									
4	It becomes increasingly difficult to recruit to key posts or to replace key staff who leave. Staff morale is adversely affected by as a result of pace of change, tightening financial circumstances or external circumstances.	 public. Increasing inefficiencies in service provision. Weakening of Internal Control arrangements. Increased pressure on other 	3,4 12	2,4 8	SAMT / Asst Director HR					
	 The Council has effective communication and working with staff as validated by securing 'silver' accreditation at IIP. There is sufficient funding to bring in agency staff where required to maintain service performance. At this stage the problematic areas are those where there are national 'shortages'. In the majority of areas it has proved 									
	•	ruit appropriate replacement staff.								
	 Appropriate tra 	aining budges are in place to ensure that st	taff receive necessary tr	raining to maintain ser	vice quality.					
	 The Council w our requirement 	rill look at introducing appropriate apprentints.	ceship / training schem	nes in order to develop	employees to meet					

5	Delivery of the Council's Agenda is dependent upon effective delivery of both a number of major initiatives / projects and implementing a range of new government reforms whilst maintaining service quality, which may overstretch our reduced organisational capacity.	•	New initiatives are not delivered in a cost-effective manner. Failure to maintain / improve services in line with local aspirations. Failure to generate the savings required to balance the budget. Financial savings measures weaken Governance / Internal Control arrangements. Service deterioration / failure arising from capacity issues.	3,4 12	2,4 8	SAMT / Chief Executive
	key objectives.The Council heresilience, by need to be a controlled to b	nas i naint	fective prioritisation and project managemade efforts to ensure effective use taining appropriate training arrangement fram	e of employees by uti	ilising shared service transformational ICT p	s to protect service
6	Emergency Planning and Business Continuity arrangements fail to meet required standards when tested by flu pandemic, natural disaster (flood), etc. The Council is exposed to cyber crime with a loss of	•	Inability of Council to provide services as a consequence of a severe catastrophic external event (e.g. flooding, major terrorist incident, flu pandemic, fire). Failure of IT infrastructure, leading to inability to effectively operate services and to safeguard income streams. Business Continuity Plans prove ineffective in practice.	3,4 12	2,4 8	Chief Executive / SAMT

	data / systems resulting in a potential inability to provide core services and incurring reputational damage.						
	operate in line	orks in partnership with a range of partn with best practice. There is an annual 'de re fit for purpose in a realistic 'trial' scenar	sktop' scenario to test o				
		ive Business Continuity plans in place what in ainst Industry standards for Business Con		and mitigation. Corpor	ate IT systems have		
		orks in partnership with a range of other a procedures failing to be effective.	gencies that should be	able to provide suppo	ort in the event of the		
	The Council ha	as put in place industry standard measures	to minimise the risk of	cyber crime.			
7	Lack of strategic direction from Members / Corporate Management, external partners change Strategic direction.	 Failure to deliver high quality services which address national and local priorities. Deterioration in Governance Arrangements. Refocus of current services necessary with associated disruption. 	3,4 12	2,4 8	Chief Executive / Political Leadership Team		
	There are appr	opriate structured training arrangements in	n place for both Membe	rs and Officers.			
	The Council is an outward looking organisation where both Members and Officers are encouraged to network with pee groups to ensure a developed awareness of the broader environment within which we operate.						
8	Governance Arrangements including Performance, Finance and Risk Management need	 Adverse Impact upon Service Quality. Failure to deliver high quality services which address national and local priorities. 	3,4 12	2,4 8	Chief Financial Officer / Monitoring Officer		

to be maintained in order to continue to operate effectively in a rapidly changing environment.	Significant adverse reputational impact.						
	The Council has appropriate managerial arrangements in place supported by staff recruitment and training to ensure thes risks are effectively managed.						
 The Council harrangements 	nas an active Standards and Audit Corin the Council.	nmittee which provide	independent review	of the Governance			
The Annual G governance an	overnance Report sets out an evidence rangements.	based structured asse	essment of the opera	tion of the Council's			